|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Milestone** | **Date** |
| Iteration start | 12/9/2018 |
| Code distribution | 15/9/2018 |
| Meeting Face to face | 18/9/2018 |
| Iteration stop | 15/10/2018 |

**2.  High-levelobjectives**

1. Attend an iteration planning and evaluation meeting
2. Carry out your assigned work items as assigned at the team meeting in the scheduled timeframe.
3. Communicate as necessary and desirable with your teammates using your selected push communication mechanism to coordinate your development activities.
4. Participate effectively in at least 3 static code reviews, taking on each of the roles of mediator, reviewer, and author at least once. IE rotate roles between team members in each review.
5. Merge your reviewed code with the main branch of the team’s code repository.

**3.  Evaluation criteria**

* 1. 95 % of iteration planning is successful
  2. Assigned work items  are almost finished as  per schedule.
  3. Communication is increasing day by day.
  4. 100% Participation of  roles in static code review.
  5. 97%  reviewed code is merged with main branch of the team’s code repository.

**4.  Work Item assignments**

The following Work Items will be addressed in this iteration:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Work Item ID** | **Name or key words of description** | **Outcome** | **State** | **Assigned to (name)** | **Estimated Hours** | **Hours worked** | **Estimate of hours remaining** |
| 1.1 | Code distribution | Code distibuted  individually and started working on it | Complete | All Members | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| 1.2 | Github is updated | Changed code is updated in github | Complete | All Members | 22 | 22 | 0 |
| 1.3 | Week Tasks Review | Can review overall tasks performed in a session | Complete | Thilina, Chiguru | 21 | 15 | 6 |
| 1.4 | Iteration Plan | Help to identify complete and remaining tasks | Complete | Chiguru, Nashath | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| 1.5 | Week 10 | Help to review overall tasks performed in a session | Complete | Hashini,  Thilina  Malinda | 10 | 9 | 1 |

**5.  Issues**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue** | **Status** | **Notes** |
| * Code is updated * Code Testing |  | * Code is changed as per the code style * Code is Tested and merged to master |

**6.  Assessment**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Assessment target | Teamwork - Version Control - Testing |
| Assessment date | 23/09/2018 |
| Participants | Chiguru,Thilina,Nashanth,Haashini,Malinda |
| Project status | Project inception and undertaking progress responsibility among the colleagues [Green] |

* **Assessment against objectives**

Group arrangement has been done alongside up close and personal connection with every one of the colleagues. Next gathering time, date and setting has been settled. Correspondingly, contact subtle elements of each colleagues has been traded.

* **Work Items: Plannedcompared to actually completed**

Venture aggregate has been arranged and shaped in time ,updated in the wiki as well.

Code distributed  in the principal meeting and started working individually   and Legitimate documentation has been arranged in the gathering and refresh related works are experiencing.

**Assessment against Evaluation Criteria Test results**

The code distributed individually and started working as author, reviewer, mediator and documentor

Author  duty is to rewrite the code and reviewer to review it , if they have any issues mediator have to compromise them . documentor have to document the updates

Other concerns and deviations

* Issues may emerge relating wellbeing, individual and so on the colleagues which comes about group gatherings disappointment, unfit to contribute one's part and so on.

* Correspondence may be deterred because of different reasons, for example, arrange disappointment, nonappearance in gatherings and so forth.
* Undertaking reconciliation might be postponed.
* Alloted assignments may be misconstrued